Attorney Docket No.: 678-1135 (P10778)

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-15 are pending in the application, with Claims 1, 3, 7 and 10 being the independent claims.

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2003/0027582 to *Hayashida* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,975,836 to *Tashiro et al.* (hereinafter, *Tashiro*) and U.S. Patent No. 6,334,090 to *Fujii*. Applicant acknowledges the indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 4, 6, 11, 13 and 15.

Regarding the §103(a) rejection of Claims 1-3, 7-10 and 14, the Examiner contends that each element of Claim 1 is taught, suggested or rendered obvious by the combination of *Hayashida*, *Tashiro* and *Fujii*. More specifically, the Examiner contends that *Hayashida* teaches every limitation of Claim 1 with the exception of a reception sensitivity indicator with a different form and the checking of reception sensitivity of a reception signal for the communication function corresponding to the enabled operation mode. The Examiner cites *Tashiro* and *Fujii* in an attempt to remedy these deficiencies.

Claim 1 recites, in part, a method for displaying reception sensitivity on a display screen of a multi-functional mobile terminal with at least two communication functions. A reception sensitivity of a reception signal for a prescribed communication function among the communication functions is checked. A reception sensitivity indicator is displayed indicating the reception sensitivity of the prescribed communication function on the display screen. If an operation mode of a communication function other than the prescribed communication function is enabled, reception sensitivity of a reception signal for the communication function corresponding to the enabled operation mode is checked. A reception sensitivity indicator is displayed indicating the reception sensitivity of the communication function corresponding to the enabled operation mode instead of displaying the reception sensitivity indicator of the prescribed

Attorney Docket No.: <u>678-1135</u> (P10778)

communication function. The reception sensitivity indicator corresponding to the enabled operation mode has a different form from the reception sensitivity indicator of the prescribed communication function of the display screen.

Hayashida discloses a cellular phone unit that receives GPS satellite information and base station information. Tashiro discloses a data broadcasting system that transmits content used in a program and presentation control data specifying the manner of presentation of each content, in a multiplex by assigning in arbitrary channels in each program. Fujii discloses a GPS terminal that includes a reception section, control section and display section.

While *Hayashida* describes a display channel that specifies a transmission condition of GPS satellite information, it fails to disclose the display of a reception sensitivity indicator instead of another reception sensitivity indicator when another communication function is enabled.

Although *Tashiro* shows a reception sensitivity indicators for two communication functions on a display of a mobile communication terminal, *Tashiro* also fails to disclose that one reception sensitivity indicator is displayed instead of another reception sensitivity indicator when another communication function is enabled. Thus, *Tashiro* fails to disclose the display of a reception sensitivity indicator for indicating the reception sensitivity of the communication function corresponding to the enabled operation mode instead of displaying the reception sensitivity indicator of the prescribed communication function, as recited in Claim 1. *Tashiro* fails to remedy the deficiency of *Hayashida*. *Fujii* also fails to remedy this deficiency. Thus, Claim 1 is patentable over the combination of *Hayashida*, *Tashiro* and *Fujii*.

The Examiner also rejected independent Claims 3, 7 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Claims 3, 7 and 10 recite similar recitations as those contained in Claim 1. More specifically, Claims 3, 7 and 10 recite the display of a reception sensitivity indicator instead of another reception sensitivity indicator, wherein the two reception sensitivity indicators have different

Attorney Docket No.: <u>678-1135</u> (P10778)

forms. In view of the above, Claims 3, 7 and 10 are also patentable over the combination of *Hayashida*, *Tashiro* and *Fujii*.

Regarding Claims 2, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 14, while not conceding the patentability of the dependent claim, *per se*, we believe Claims 2, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 14 are also allowable for at least the above reasons. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12 and 14 are allowable over *Hayashida*, *Tashiro*, *Fujii*, or any combination thereof and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-15 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul J. Farrell

Registration No. 33,494 Attorney for Applicant(s)

Uniondale, New York 11553 (516) 228-3565

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C.

333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Ste. 701